

the number density and temperature of a hot inhomogeneous plasma. This could be done by measuring the phase and the amplitude of the wave as a function of  $z$ .

## APPENDIX

### DERIVATION OF THE WAVE EQUATION IN A HOT INHOMOGENEOUS STATIONARY PLASMA

Assume all quantities are of the form  $A_0 + A_1$  where  $A_0$  indicates time-independent quantities and  $A_1$  indicates time-varying quantities. Further assume that the ions of density  $N_0$  are smeared out and stationary to form a neutralizing background. The instantaneous charge density

$$\rho = -(N_0 + N_1)e + N_0e = -N_1e \quad (14)$$

and current

$$\bar{J} = -(N_0 + N_1)e\bar{v}_1 = -N_0e\bar{v}_1 \quad (15)$$

together with Maxwell's equations

$$\frac{\partial \epsilon_0 \bar{E}}{\partial t} + \bar{J} = \text{curl} \frac{\bar{B}}{\mu_0} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{B}}{\partial t} + \text{curl} \bar{E} = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\text{div} \epsilon_0 \bar{E} = \rho \quad (18)$$

lead to expressions (with assumed time dependence  $e^{i\omega t}$ )

$$+N_0e\bar{v}_1 = \frac{i}{\omega\mu_0} \left( \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} \bar{E}_1 - \text{curl} \text{curl} \bar{E}_1 \right) \quad (19)$$

$$N_1 = -\frac{\epsilon_0}{e} \text{div} \bar{E}_1. \quad (20)$$

The linearized equation of motion (1) yields two terms

$$-eN_0\bar{E}_0 - \text{grad} P_0 = 0 \quad (21)$$

$$i\omega N_0\bar{v}_1 = -eN_1\bar{E}_0 - eN_0\bar{E}_1 - \text{grad} P_1. \quad (22)$$

Combining (19) to (22) together with an assumed equation of state  $p = \gamma NkT$ , one obtains the wave equation (2).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author wishes to acknowledge Dr. P. Weissglas of the Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden, for discussions concerning temperature modes and Drs. D. Montgomery and D. Gurnett of the University of Iowa, Iowa City, for discussions concerning this correspondence.

K. E. LÖNNGRÉN  
Dept. of Elec. Engng.  
University of Iowa  
Iowa City, Iowa

## REFERENCES

- B. Kerzár and P. Weissglas, "Plasma microwave interaction," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 36, p. 2479, August 1965.
- B. O'Brien, R. W. Gould, and J. Parker, "Longitudinal wave-propagation modes along a positive column," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 14, p. 630, April 19, 1965.
- R. A. Stern, "Harmonic Generation and Frequency Mixing at Plasma Resonance," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 14, p. 538, April 5, 1965.
- B. Kerzár, K. Abrahamsen, and P. Weissglas, "Nonlinear plasma wave interaction in an arc discharge," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 7, p. 155, September 15, 1965.
- B. Kerzár and P. Weissglas, "Determination of electron drift velocity in a gaseous discharge from Doppler shifts of plasma waves," *Electronics Lett.*, vol. 1, p. 43, April 1965.
- B. Agdur, B. Kerzár, and T. Nygren, "Variation of the electron density along a plasma column," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 10, p. 467, June 1, 1963.
- I. Alexeff and W. D. Jones, "Collisionless ion

- wave propagation and the determination of the compression coefficient of plasma electrons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 15, p. 286, August 16, 1965.
- B. Agdur and P. Weissglas, "Waves in hot, anisotropic electron plasmas," *Ericsson Tech.*, p. 267, no. 2, 1964.
- J. C. Nickel, J. V. Parker, and R. W. Gould, "Resonance oscillations in a hot nonuniform plasma column," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 11, p. 183, September 1, 1963.
- W. J. Cunningham, *Introduction to Nonlinear Analysis*, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1958, pp. 135-140.
- B. D. Fried and R. W. Gould, "Longitudinal ion oscillations in a hot plasma," *Phys. of Fluids*, vol. 4, p. 139, January 1961. (See Fig. 1.)
- K. J. Harker, "Longitudinal oscillations in unbounded one-dimensional nonuniform plasmas," *Phys. of Fluids*, vol. 10, p. 1846, October 1965.

$k$  propagating. Obeying dispersion relation  $\omega/\gamma = H_i + H_{ex} a^2 k^2$  ( $k^2$  negative).

It was found that for all conductor widths and spacings, only low- $k$  waves were excited. In fact, the ability of the low- $k$  waves to satisfy the boundary conditions is enhanced by decreasing the width and spacing of the conductors. The plausibility argument for this is that the electromagnetic field of the conductors without any YIG obeys very closely Laplace's equation, i.e.,  $k \approx 0$ . Therefore, there is no variation in the exciting field which tends to displace the spins against the exchange forces. Very close conductor spacing creates a fast decay perpendicular to the array and an equally fast periodic variation along the array. The second derivatives in the two directions are equal and opposite and the Laplacian is zero.

The situation is slightly different with the dc field parallel to the wires. The closeness of the conductors drops out of the dispersion relation. Three values of  $k$  are permitted.

- 1) Low- $k$  (electromagnetic) becomes high- $k$  nonpropagating as the field is increased.
- 2) High- $k$  propagating becomes low- $k$  as the field is increased.
- 3) High- $k$  nonpropagating remains about the same.

There is a restricted range of field in which 1) and 2) become comparable, so that true spin waves involving exchange forces are excited. However, good excitation of propagating spin waves occurs only for spin wavelengths comparable to or greater than elastic wavelengths. Also, this region is very narrow band.

The transmission line analog used by Kaufman and Soohoo<sup>3</sup> assumes single mode propagation and reduces a two- or three-dimensional problem to a one-dimensional problem. The actual magnetic field of the conductor is replaced by sources distributed along the transmission line. This distributed source analog is based on Schlömann,<sup>4</sup> equation (10), which we believe to be a misinterpretation, as follows.

The equations of motion give the susceptibility tensor which relates the RF magnetization to the RF magnetic field in a gyroscopic medium. This tensor expresses the effects of forces imposed by the local magnetic field and the exchange forces on the spin dipole moments. These forces cause precession at an amplitude and rate consistent with the magnetogyric ratio.

When the susceptibility tensor is inserted in Maxwell's equations, a set of homogeneous equations can be obtained for either the magnetization or the magnetic field. The dispersion relation is obtained by setting the determinant equal to zero.

There are no source terms involved in the interior of the region. Amplitudes are determined by matching solutions at boundaries.

<sup>1</sup> I. Kaufman and R. F. Soohoo, "Magnetic waves for microwave time delay—Some observations and results," *IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, vol. MTT-13, pp. 458-467, July 1965.

<sup>2</sup> I. Kaufman and R. F. Soohoo, "Properties and excitation of spin waves—A new microwave time delay medium," presented at 1964 PTGMMT International Symposium.

<sup>3</sup> E. Schlömann, "Generation of spin waves in non-uniform magnetic fields, I. Conversion of electromagnetic power into spin-wave power and vice versa," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 35, p. 159-166, January 1964.

The above procedure is used by Auld<sup>5</sup> in the solution for magnetostatic modes of spheres and is fully described in Lax and Button.<sup>6</sup> In Schrömann's (10), the magnetic field  $\mathbf{h}$  is not a source term. It must have the same spatial variation as  $\alpha$ , since both  $\mathbf{h}$  and  $\alpha$  are simply the variables in a homogeneous set of equations. If  $\mathbf{h}$  has an exponential decay in the  $z$ -direction, so will  $\alpha$ . That is, the solution will consist entirely of the low- $k$  mode. If there is to be any high- $k$  propagating spin wave in the solution for  $\alpha$ , there must be a high- $k$  propagating wave in  $\mathbf{h}$ . Obviously, the unperturbed field of a conductor will not contain a short-wavelength variation. The boundary value problem must be solved to determine whether such a component is present in the YIG.

We believe that Schrömann's (12) is not an inhomogeneous equation and that the distributed source interpretation is not correct. The calculations which follow his (12) are, however, essentially correct because the excitation is not calculated from the unperturbed (without YIG) magnetic field.

Incorrect interpretation of Schrömann's (10) leads to serious errors when the frequency is such that short wavelength spin waves are allowed by the dispersion relation. Under these conditions, the low- $k$  (electromagnetic) solution is also allowed and the  $\mathbf{h}$  term in Schrömann's (10) matches the unperturbed field almost exactly. ("Unperturbed" means YIG dielectric constant assumed but magnetic dipoles ignored.) Our boundary value solutions show that very little spin wave excitation is obtained, and we think that it makes little difference how many conductors are used or whether they are flat or round.

To date, the only effective linear method of coupling an electromagnetic field to high- $k$ , propagating spin waves is, as predicted by Schrömann, to couple at a point where the spin-wavelength approaches the electromagnetic wavelength. By shaping the dc magnetic field, one can slide along the dispersion relation to the high- $k$  region. Under these conditions, spin-phonon coupling is unavoidable and spin wave defocusing can become a serious problem.

Our calculations are lengthy and not suitable for this published correspondence. They will be supplied to interested workers.

R. LAROSA  
C. F. VASILE  
Research Div.  
Hazeltine Corp.  
Little Neck, N. Y.

### Messrs. Kaufman and Soohoo<sup>7</sup>

The idea of exciting spin waves in a material by excitation of a specimen with a field that extends only a short distance into the material was proposed before us by Lüthi.<sup>8</sup> In our paper<sup>9</sup> we describe a possible

<sup>5</sup> B. A. Auld, "Walker modes in large ferrite samples," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 31, pp. 1642-1647, September, 1960.

<sup>6</sup> B. Lax and K. Button, *Microwave Ferrites and Ferrimagnetics*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1962, sec. 7.5.

<sup>7</sup> Manuscript received February 14, 1966.

<sup>8</sup> B. Lüthi, "Propagation of spin waves," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 33, pp. 244-245, January 1962.

method of accomplishing this by using a fine wire. LaRosa and Vasile maintain that this scheme (and, by inference, any similar scheme) is not valid, since the magnetization must always have the same periodicity as the applied RF  $\mathbf{h}$ -field; and that the RF  $\mathbf{h}$ -field must have the same spatial variation as the magnetization.

It should be pointed out here that the excitation of a standing spin wave in a film, first demonstrated by Seavey and Tannenwald,<sup>9</sup> is accomplished with an RF magnetic field distribution that is essentially of constant amplitude throughout the film, yet the spin wave local amplitude varies in the manner of a standing wave distribution. Accordingly, it is not necessary to have the same spatial distribution for RF field as for magnetization.

LaRosa and Vasile state that Schrömann's (12)<sup>4</sup> is not an inhomogeneous equation. This equation is based on the equation of motion of the magnetization,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial t} = \gamma(\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{H}) + \gamma H_{ex} a^2 \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \nabla^2 \mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{M}|}. \quad (1)$$

For the infinite medium, assuming fields  $\mathbf{H} = \hat{a}_x h_x + \hat{a}_y h_y + \hat{a}_z H_0$  and magnetization  $\mathbf{M} = \hat{a}_x m_x + \hat{a}_y m_y + \hat{a}_z M_0$ , where all RF terms vary as  $\exp(j\omega t)$ , this equation is

$$\frac{\partial^2 m_0}{\partial z^2} + \left( \frac{-\gamma H_0 - \omega}{\gamma H_{ex} a^2} \right) m_0 = - \frac{M_0}{H_{ex} a^2} h_0. \quad (2)$$

Here, following Schrömann,<sup>4</sup>  $h_0 = h_x + j h_y$ ;  $m_0 = m_x + j m_y$ . Neither the spatial distribution of  $h_0$  nor that of  $m_0$  have been specified. As usual, the solution to the homogeneous portion of this equation results in  $m_0 = A \exp(jkz) + B \exp(-jkz)$ , where  $k = [(-\gamma H_0 - \omega)/\gamma H_{ex} a^2]^{1/2}$  is the usual dispersion relation for  $z$ -directed spin waves. (Here only variation with  $z$  was assumed.) Equation (2) above is therefore clearly inhomogeneous; the  $h_0$  allowing for additional solutions. In these, the  $A$  and  $B$  coefficients are now not constants where  $h_0$  is nonzero, but they allow for "normal mode" propagation of  $A$ ,  $B$  where  $h_0 = 0$ . The scheme is analogous to the piezoelectric transducer.

Since this analysis is based solely on the equation of motion (1), it is not completely correct; for a more rigorous solution would also include Maxwell's equations. However, since the exchange power content of a spin wave far exceeds that of the usual Poynting vector power,<sup>10</sup> this treatment should be fairly accurate.

It is of interest to mention here that an earlier analysis<sup>11</sup> states the relation between  $\mathbf{h}_k$  and  $\mathbf{m}_k$  of a spin wave, based on Maxwell's equations. In this normal mode analysis, we find

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \frac{4\pi(\omega^2 \epsilon_0 / c^2) \mathbf{m}_k - 4\pi k(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{m}_k)}{k^2 - \omega^2 \epsilon_0 / c^2}. \quad (3)$$

Curiously,  $\mathbf{h}_k$  and  $\mathbf{m}_k$  here do not have the same spatial distribution, because of the presence of the  $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{m}_k$  term.

<sup>9</sup> M. H. Seavey, Jr., and P. E. Tannenwald, "Direct observation of spin wave resonance," *Phys. Rev. Letts.*, vol. 1, pp. 168-169, September 1, 1958.

<sup>10</sup> I. Kaufman and R. F. Soohoo, "The electric field and wave impedance propagation," *IEEE Trans. on Microwave Theory and Techniques (Correspondence)*, vol. MTT-13, pp. 703-704, September 1965.

<sup>11</sup> R. F. Soohoo, "General spin-wave dispersion relations," *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 120, pp. 1978-1986, December 15, 1962.

In conclusion, we wish to state that while our transmission line one-dimensional analysis is a simplification over the actual physical picture, we feel that this analysis is still correct in principle, in the light of the arguments presented here.

I. KAUFMAN  
Dept. of Elec. Engng.  
Arizona State University  
Tempe, Ariz.  
R. F. SOOHOO  
Dept. of Elec. Engng.  
University of California  
Davis, Calif.

### Messrs. LaRosa and Vasile<sup>12</sup>

1) Our comments apply to homogeneous magnetic insulators. Spin waves are generated in thin films because of one or more of the following complications: finite conductivity, variation of  $4\pi M_s$  with depth, surface pinning (which prevents uniform precession), and small sample size in direction of propagation.

2) We are not sufficiently familiar with the piezoelectric transducer analogy to judge its relevance.

3) We still believe that Maxwell's equations solved simultaneously with the equations of motion yield a homogeneous set of equations in  $\bar{m}$ ,  $\bar{h}$  or  $\bar{e}$ . There are no sources distributed in the volume of the YIG. There are no perturbations in the YIG medium which could serve as sources via perturbation theory.

<sup>12</sup> Manuscript received March 8, 1966.

### Reflection Measurements with Broadband Frequency Modulation Using Long Transmission Lines

This correspondence describes some applications of broadband frequency modulation for measuring reflections on moderately long transmission lines. As known from earlier publications on this subject [1], [2], and from FM-radar techniques, a frequency modulated wave train from a sweep generator is fed into a transmission line. A part of the energy is scattered back by reflections produced on the line or at the end of it. A detector conveniently coupled to the line near the generator provides for mixing of transmitted and scattered wave amplitudes, thus generating an intermediate frequency signal (generally 0.1...15 kHz) which can be processed by audio frequency techniques.

Ideally, the following equation holds for this audio signal:

$$V_d = \sum_n k r_n \cos \left( \frac{4\pi d_n f_m \Delta F}{v} t - \phi_n \right) \quad (1)$$

Manuscript received May 11, 1966; revised June 27, 1966.